

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.      | FI             | LING DATE  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|----------------------|----------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/516,526           | 526 12/02/2004 |            | Lutz Telljohann      | P70008US0           | 3069            |
| 136                  | 7590           | 12/04/2006 |                      | EXAMINER            |                 |
| JACOBSO1             | N HOLM         | AN PLLC    | HINZE, LEO T         |                     |                 |
| 400 SEVEN            | TH STRE        | ET N.W.    |                      |                     |                 |
| SUITE 600            |                |            |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER    |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20004 |                |            |                      | 2854                |                 |

DATE MAILED: 12/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 055 4-45 0   | 10/516,526  | TELLJOHANN, LUTZ  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner ·  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Leo T. Hinze  | 2854  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | correspondence address  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin  iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONE              | N.<br>nely filed<br>the mailing date of this communication.<br>D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 De   | ecember 2004.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | action is non-final.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| ,  | , <del>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </del>   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E   | •   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   | ·   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.  | - · · · <del> ·</del>   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | election requirement.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine   | r   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 December 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   | aminer. Note the attached Office  | Action or form PTO-152.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)   | )-(d) or (f).   |  |  |  |  |  |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  |   | •   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <u> </u>   | <ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul> |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior   | •   | ed in this National Stage   |  |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau  | ,   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  | r <del></del> 1   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Discrete: Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 4) Interview Summary<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Da   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)   | 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>20050809</u> .  | 6)  |   |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/516,526 Page 2

Art Unit: 2854

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: the term "ink tank" in line

2 lacks the proper antecedent basis. To expedite prosecution, the examiner will interpret this term

as "a tank".

2. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: the term "vacuum pump"

in lines 3-4 lacks the proper antecedent basis. To expedite prosecution, the examiner will

interpret this term as "pumping devices".

3. Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: the term "the two pumping

devices" in lines 3-4 lacks the proper antecedent basis. To expedite prosecution, the examiner

will interpret this term as "pumping devices".

4. Appropriate correction and/or clarification is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly

claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/516,526 Page 3

Art Unit: 2854

a. Claim 1 recites the limitation "parameters have been preset and which do not change

during operation." It is not clear which parameters are being referred to.

7. Appropriate correction and/or clarification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the

United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

9. Claims 1-7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Heller et al., US

2003/0084805 A1 (hereafter Heller).

a. Regarding claim 1, Heller teaches process for supplying printing ink to and educing

printing ink from a squeegee device of an inking system on a rotary printing press, which

comprises a squeegee blade carrier (Fig. 2), provided with a longitudinally running trough, with

squeegee blades that are adjustable on a form inking roller or on an anilox roller, which, together

with the form inking roller (9, Fig. 1) and the trough (11, Fig. 1), delimit an ink chamber, and

comprise lines and pumping devices (19, 21, Fig. 1) powered by motors (23, Fig. 1) for

supplying and educing the ink into and out of the ink chamber, thus characterized that motors are

used whose parameters have been preset and which do not change during operation of the motors

and that, when required, a portion of the ink from the ink stream led away from the squeegee

Art Unit: 2854

device is rechanneled to the feed line (ink from return line returns to tank 7 where it is returned to feed line, Fig. 1).

- b. Regarding claim 2, Heller teaches all that is claimed as discussed in the rejection of claim 1 above. Heller also teaches that at least one line (51, Fig. 1) branches off from the feed line
- c. Regarding claim 3, Heller teaches all that is claimed as discussed in the rejection of claim 2 above. Heller also teaches that the line leads back to a tank (53, Fig. 1).
- d. Regarding claim 4, Heller teaches all that is claimed as discussed in the rejection of claim 1 above. Heller also teaches at least one line (27, Fig. 1) leads from the pressure side of the vacuum pump to the feed line of the squeegee device (Fig. 2).
- e. Regarding claim 5, Heller teaches all that is claimed as discussed in the rejection of claim 2 above. Heller also teaches throughflow regulating valve (37, Fig. 1) is arranged in at least one line.
- Regarding claim 6, Heller teaches all that is claimed as discussed in the rejection of claim 5 above. Heller also teaches for monitoring the quantity of ink present in the squeegee device (1), a sensor (63, Fig. 1) is provided whose signals are processed through a closed loop control circuit that regulates the throughflow regulating valve (37, Fig. 1) in such a manner that the quantity of ink circulating in the squeegee device is always maintained within specified limits (¶ 74).
- Regarding claim 7, Heller teaches all that is claimed as discussed in the rejection of claim 1 above. Heller also teaches that the two pumping devices comprise two chambers of a double diaphragm pump with only one drive shaft (¶ 61).

Application/Control Number: 10/516,526

Art Unit: 2854

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

Page 5

disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Leo T. Hinze whose telephone number is (571) 272-2167. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-2258. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Leo T. Hinze Patent Examiner AU 2854

AU 2034

14 November 2006

Judy Manyer JUDY NGUYEN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER